CLAIM AMENDMENTS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

| 1 | 1. (previously presented) A method of assigning resources for a computer |
|----|--|
| 2 | system design comprising: |
| 3 | receiving desired levels of performance parameters for a |
| 4 | computer system design from a user via a user interface to a computer |
| 5 | system, the design including assignments of system resources to |
| 6 | applications; |
| 7 | modifying the design in response to said desired levels |
| 8 | including modifying the assignments of the system resources; |
| 9 | predicting levels of performance parameters for the modified |
| 10 | design; and |
| 11 | displaying for the user an indication of the predicted levels of |
| 12 | performance parameters for the modified design via the user interface |
| | • |
| 1 | 2. (original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the computer system |
| 2 | design comprises a design for a data storage system. |
| 1 | 3. (previously presented) The method according to claim 1, further |
| 2 | comprising reducing one or more of the performance parameters from said |
| 3 | desired levels of performance parameters. |
| | |
| 1 | 4. (previously presented) The method according to claim 3, wherein said |
| 2 | reducing is based on at least one utility function representing utility as a |
| 3 | function of one or more of the performance parameters. |
| 1 | 5. (previously presented) The method according to claim 4, further |
| 2 | comprising: |
| 3 | receiving the at least one utility function via the user interface |
| 4 | to the computer system; and |
| | |

| 3 | storing the at least one utility function in a memory device of |
|----|---|
| 6 | the computer system. |
| 1 | 6. (original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the desired levels of |
| 2 | performance parameters are specified by the user through a graphical user |
| 3 | interface. |
| 1 | 7. (original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the desired levels of |
| 2 | performance parameters are specified by the user through a graphical user |
| 3 | interface by the user manipulating heights of bar graphs shown on a display o |
| 4 | the computer system. |
| 1 | 8. (previously presented) The method according to claim 7, wherein each bar |
| 2 | graph indicates the corresponding desired level of the performance parameter. |
| 1 | 9. (previously presented) The method according to claim 8, wherein each bar |
| 2 | graph also indicates the corresponding predicted level of the performance |
| 3 | parameter. |
| 1 | 10. (previously presented) A method of assigning resources for a computer |
| 2 | system design comprising: |
| 3 | receiving desired levels of performance parameters for a |
| 4 | computer system design from a user via a user interface to a computer |
| 5 | system; |
| 6 | developing the design including assignments of system |
| 7 | resources to applications; |
| 8 | predicting levels of performance parameters for the design; |
| 9 | comparing the predicted levels of performance parameters to |
| 10 | the desired levels of performance parameters; |
| 11 | modifying the design including modifying the assignments of |
| 12 | the system resources when the predicted levels are lower than the |
| 13 | desired levels, said modifying being performed by the computer |
| 14 | system; and |

| 13 | displaying for the user results of the modifying via the user |
|----|--|
| 16 | interface. |
| 1 | 11. (original) The method according to claim 10, wherein the computer |
| 2 | system design comprises a design for a data storage system. |
| 1 | 12. (original) The method according to claim 10, wherein said developing |
| 2 | comprises assigning system resources to applications to be served by the |
| 3 | design. |
| 1 | 13. (original) The method according to claim 12, said assigning being |
| 2 | performed by a design tool operating on the computer system. |
| 1 | 14. (previously presented) The method according to claim 10, further |
| 2 | comprising reducing one or more of the performance parameters from said |
| 3 | desired levels of performance parameters. |
| 1 | 15. (previously presented) The method according to claim 14, wherein said |
| 2 | reducing is based on at least one utility function representing utility as a |
| 3 | function of one or more of the performance parameters. |
| 1 | 16. (previously presented) The method according to claim 15, further |
| 2 | comprising receiving the at least one utility function via the user interface to |
| 3 | the computer system. |
| 1 | 17. (original) The method according to claim 10, wherein the user interface is |
| 2 | a graphical user interface. |
| 1 | 18. (original) The method according to claim 17, wherein the desired levels |
| 2 | of performance parameters are specified by the user through the graphical user |
| 3 | interface by the user manipulating heights of bar graphs shown on a display of |
| 4 | the computer system. |

1 19. (previously presented) The method according to claim 18, wherein each 2 bar graph indicates the desired level of the corresponding performance 3 parameter. 1 20. (previously presented) The method according to claim 19, wherein each 2 bar graph also indicates the predicted level of the corresponding performance 3 parameter. 1 21. (original) The method according to claim 10, further comprising 2 repeating said steps of predicting and comparing after said modifying. 1 22. (original) The method according to claim 21, wherein when the predicted 2 levels are lower than the desired levels after said modifying, then notifying the 3 user. 1 23. (previously presented) An apparatus for assigning resources for a 2 computer system design, comprising a computer system programmed to 3 operate in a first program loop in which a user specifies desired levels of 4 performance parameters of the design via a user interface and a second 5 program loop in which: performance parameter levels are predicted for the 6 design; the predicted performance parameters are compared to the desired 7 levels of performance parameters; the design is modified, including modifying 8 assignments of system resources to applications, in response to the comparison 9 and results of the modifying are displayed for the user via the user interface. 1 24. (original) The apparatus according to claim 23, wherein the computer 2 system design comprises a design for a data storage system. 1 25. (cancelled) 1 26. (previously presented) The apparatus according to claim 23, wherein one 2 or more of the performance parameters is reduced from said desired levels of 3 performance parameters based on at least one utility function representing utility as a function of one or more of the performance parameters. 4

| 1 | 27. (previously presented) The apparatus according to claim 26, wherein the |
|---|--|
| 2 | at least one utility function is specified by the user. |
| 1 | 28. (original) The apparatus according to claim 23, wherein the desired levels |
| 2 | of performance parameters are specified by the user through a graphical user |
| 3 | interface. |
| 1 | 29. (original) The apparatus according to claim 28, wherein the desired levels |
| 2 | |
| | of performance parameters are specified by the user through the graphical user |
| 3 | interface by the user manipulating heights of bar graphs shown on a display of |
| 4 | the computer system. |
| 1 | 30. (previously presented) The apparatus according to claim 29, wherein each |
| 2 | bar graph indicates the desired level of the corresponding performance |
| 3 | parameter. |
| 1 | 31. (previously presented) The apparatus according to claim 30, wherein each |
| 2 | bar graph also indicates the predicted level of the corresponding performance |
| 3 | parameter. |